

UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 09/462,472 01/14/00 MATSUI Н 0010-1075-0-**EXAMINER** HM12/0328 OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND FRONDA.C MAIER & NEUSTADT ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY FOURTH FLOOR 1652 ARLINGTON VA 22202 DATE MAILED: 03/28/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. Applicana(s) 09/462,472

Matsui et al.

Office Action Summary Examiner

Christian L. Fronda

Group Art Unit 1652



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·
This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance en in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed yle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
is longer, from the mailing date of this communication.	r is set to expire1 month(s), or thirty days, whichever Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) <u>1-13</u>	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
	is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)	
	is/are objected to.
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patential Control of the Control of C	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/a	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	minici.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	a priority under 35 H.S.C. § 119(a)-(d)
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED	
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/S	Serial Number)
	from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes	etic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449,	Paper No(s)
Interview Summary, PTO-413Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	, PTO-948
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	, 1000
- Notice of informal Fatent Application, 1 TO-102	The state of the s
SEE OFFICE ACT	TION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES
SEE UFFICE ACT	TON ON THE POLLOWING PAGES

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claim 2, drawn to a microorganism which has acquired a purine nucleoside-producing ability because of an increase of an activity of an enzyme involved in purine nucleoside biosynthesis, classified in class 435, subclass 252.33.
 - II. Claim 3, drawn to a microorganism which has acquired a purine nucleoside-producing ability because of an increase in the expression of a gene for an enzyme involved in purine nucleoside biosynthesis, classified in class 435, subclass 252.33.
 - III. Claims 4, 5, and 8, drawn to a microorganism which has acquired a purine nucleoside-producing ability because of deregulation of control of an enzyme involved in purine nucleoside biosynthesis, classified in class 435, subclass 252.33.
 - IV. Claims 9 and 10, drawn to a microorganism which has acquired a purine nucleoside-producing ability because of blockage of a reaction branching from purine nucleoside biosynthesis and leading to another metabolite, classified in class 435, subclass 252.33.
 - V. Claims 11 and 12, drawn to a microorganism which is enhanced in purine nucleoside-producing ability by weakening of incorporation of a purine nucleoside into cells of the microorganism, classified in class 435, subclass 252.33.
 - VI. Claim 13, drawn to a method for producing a purine nucleoside by fermentation comprising culturing a microorganism, classified in class 435, subclass 88.

Claim 1 is generic to Groups I-VI. Claims 6 and 7 are generic only to Group II and Group III.

Art Unit: 1652

Inventions I-V and VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product such as organic catalysts and organic chemicals in a chemical synthesis of purine nucleosides.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 3. Claim 1 is generic to Groups I-VI. If any of Groups I-VI are elected, then claim 1 will also be examined but only to the extent of the elected subject matter.
- 4. Claims 6 and 7 are generic only to Group II and Group III. If either Group I or Group II is elected, then claims 6 and 7 will also be examined but only to the extent of the elected subject matter of either Group I or Group II.
- 5. This application contains a claim (claim 9 of the invention of Group IV) which is directed to the following patentably distinct species of enzymes: succinyl-adenosine monophosphate synthase, purine nucleoside phosphorylase, adenosine deaminase, inosine-guanosine kinase, guanosine monophosphate reductase, 6-phosphogluconate dehydrase, phosphoglucose isomerase, adenine deaminase, and xanthosine phosphorylase. Each of these enzymes are independent chemical entities and require different literature searches.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

Art Unit: 1652

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christian L. Fronda whose telephone number is (703)305-1252. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura Achutamurthy, can be reached at (703)308-3804. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)308-0294. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0196.

CLF

PONNATHAPU ACHUTAMURTHY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600